

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,739	03/04/2002	Yuichi Matsumoto	03500.016250	2224
5514 7590 12/13/2007 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			PENG, FRED H	
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/086,739	MATSUMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Fred Peng	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	entember 2007				
<ul> <li>2a)  This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is</li> </ul>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologica in accordance with the produce under E	A punto Quayio, 1000 C.S. 11, 10				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,19-21 and 30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,19-21 and 30</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
o, in the constraint of the co					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 19-21 and 30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1-3, 19-21 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis et al (US 2006/0136965 A1) in view of Grauch et al (US 6,983,478).

Regarding Claims 1, 19 and 30, Ellis discloses a data receiving apparatus connected with a recording apparatus (FIG.3, FIG.4) with corresponding method and a storage medium (FIG.3, -44) storing a program for recording a television broadcast program, and booking to record the program (Para 102 lines 1-3), said data receiving apparatus comprising:

a receiving unit adapted to receive a television broadcast program (FIG.3, -28); an input unit adapted to input booking information about a program (FIG.3, -40), recording of which is booked by a user instruction in said recording apparatus (Para 102); and

a profile generation unit adapted to generate a user profile of said data receiving apparatus and the recording apparatus on the basis of (a) a view history of a broadcast program received by said receiving unit (Para 107 lines 1-9) and (b) the booking information input by said input unit (Para 107 lines 9-11).

10/086,739

Art Unit: 2623

Ellis is silent about updating the user profile if booking for recording is not canceled in

said recording apparatus, and not updating the user profile when the booking is canceled in said

recording apparatus.

In an analogous art, Grauch discloses a system that keeps track of users to determine if

they actually record a program or not and update the user database for billing purpose if the

program is recorded (Col 11 lines 48-56).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's

invention to modify Ellis' system to include tracking a user to determine if users record a program

or not, as taught by Grauch so different user database can be built for different purpose like

billing.

Regarding Claims 2 and 20, Ellis further discloses a searching unit adapted to search for

a desired program in the television broadcast program received by said receiving unit based on

the user profile (Para 77 lines 5-12).

Regarding Claims 3 and 21, the added claimed feature "said profile generating step

updates the user profile based on the booking cancellation information in accordance with the

recording of the booked program being finished" as has been analyzed and described as in

Claims 1, 19 and 30.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of

the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date

of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Fred Peng whose telephone number is (571) 270-1147. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday-Friday 09:00-18:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

Fred Peng

Patent Examiner

Vivek Srivastava Supervisory Patent Examiner

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600